

1 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
 & DOWD LLP
 2 CHRISTOPHER P. SEEFER (201197)
 DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM (248631)
 3 Post Montgomery Center
 One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
 4 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: 415/288-4545
 5 415/288-4534 (fax)
 chriss@rgrdlaw.com
 6 dpfefferbaum@rgrdlaw.com

7 LABATON SUCHAROW LLP
 JONATHAN GARDNER
 8 MICHAEL P. CANTY
 ROGER W. YAMADA
 9 140 Broadway
 New York, New York 10005
 10 Telephone: 212/907-0700
 212/818-0477 (fax)
 11 jgardner@labaton.com
 mcanty@labaton.com
 12 ryamada@labaton.com

13 *Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs*

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16	In re UBIQUITI NETWORKS, INC.)	Master File No. 12-cv-04677-YGR
17	SECURITIES LITIGATION)	
	_____)	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
18)	
19	This Document Relates To:)	PROPOSED ORDER APPROVING PLAN
20	ALL ACTIONS.)	OF ALLOCATION
	_____)	Re: Dkt. No. 125

21
 22 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on December 19, 2017, on the motion of
 23 Inter-Local Pension Fund GCC/IBT (“Inter-Local”) and Bristol County Retirement System
 24 (“Bristol County” and, together with Inter-Local, “Lead Plaintiffs”), on behalf of themselves and
 25 the Settlement Class, for final approval of the proposed class action Settlement and approval of
 26 the Plan of Allocation for the proceeds of the Settlement; the Court having considered all papers
 27
 28

1 filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed;

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

3 1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and
4 Agreement of Settlement, dated as of August 4, 2017 (the “Stipulation”), attached as Exhibit A
5 to the Court’s Final Order and Judgment, and all capitalized terms used, but not defined herein,
6 shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
7

8 2. Pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
9 Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to
10 Persons who are Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort,
11 advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair
12 opportunity was accorded to Persons who are Settlement Class Members to be heard with respect
13 to the Plan of Allocation.
14


15 3. The Court has reviewed and considered the objection to the Plan of Allocation
16 submitted by Joseph C. Keane, and it is overruled. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 77k(e).

17 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation for the
18 calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants that is set forth in the Notice of Pendency of
19 Class Action, Proposed Settlement, and Motion for Attorneys’ Fees and Expenses (the “Notice”)
20 disseminated to Settlement Class Members, attached as Exhibit B to the Court’s Final Order and
21 Judgment, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the Net Settlement Fund
22 among eligible Settlement Class Members.
23

24 \\
25 \\
26 \\
27

1 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in
2 the Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court hereby approves the Plan of
3 Allocation.
4

5
6 Dated: December 20, 2017


Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE